



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/988,648	11/20/2001	Terutsugu Gotanda	033192-007	8855
759	90 08/02/2002			
Platon N. Mandros BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, KIRAN B	
Alexandria, VA	22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	<u> </u>	09/988,648	GOTANDA ET AL.	3
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·		Kiran B. Patel	3612	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover :	he t with the correspondenc add	ress
THE N - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, howev ply within the statutory minin I will apply and will expire SI te, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this collection ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	mmunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	January 2002 .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fin	al.	
3) 🗌 Disposition	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims			e merits is
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	ı .		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considerat	ion.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
-	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement		
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) 🔲 T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 T	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the Examine	r.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action	n.	
12)∐ T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Pri rity u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[2	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receiv	ed.	
;	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receiv	ed in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Box	ureau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	Stage
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified cop	es not received.	•
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
•	□ The translation of the foreign language pr cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •		
Attachment((s)			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>s</u>	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s lotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO ther:	
.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		action Summary	Part of	Paper No. 6

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under
 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1, 7-8, drawn to a hollow shock absorber, classified in class 188, subclass 371.
 - II. Claims 2-6, drawn to a vehicle bumper, classified in class 293, subclass 132.
- 1. The inventions are distinct each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a safety barrier for a road. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 3612

Page 3

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification,

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. This application, as best understood, contains claims directed to the

following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - directed towards Fig. 1-4

Species B - directed towards Fig. 5

Species C - directed towards Fig. 6

Species D - directed towards Fig. 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species

for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic

claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there appears to be no claim, which

is generic to all species.

Art Unit: 3612

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP [] 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3612

Page 5

3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Schneider to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examiners even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan, can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax

Art Unit: 3612

Page 6

phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-3522 for regular communications and 703-308-3297 for After Final communications.

Kiran B. Patel

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

July 30, 2002